So here is a simpler example. In file hello.c

```
#include <stdio.h>

/* This is a
    block comment */
int main(void)
{
    // do something interesting
    printf("hello world\n");
    return 0;
}
```

Points of note

 General syntax — comments, curly brackets, semicolons, quotes, etc. — is pretty familiar

- General syntax comments, curly brackets, semicolons, quotes, etc. — is pretty familiar
- Filename can be anything that ends .c, no relationship connecting filenames to classes goes on: C does not have classes

- General syntax comments, curly brackets, semicolons, quotes, etc. — is pretty familiar
- Filename can be anything that ends .c, no relationship connecting filenames to classes goes on: C does not have classes
- The #include line we shall describe later: for now just think of it as something to put at the start of every C file

- General syntax comments, curly brackets, semicolons, quotes, etc. — is pretty familiar
- Filename can be anything that ends .c, no relationship connecting filenames to classes goes on: C does not have classes
- The #include line we shall describe later: for now just think of it as something to put at the start of every C file
- The function main is the entry point of the program. i.e., when the program is run, it starts executing from here

- General syntax comments, curly brackets, semicolons, quotes, etc. — is pretty familiar
- Filename can be anything that ends .c, no relationship connecting filenames to classes goes on: C does not have classes
- The #include line we shall describe later: for now just think of it as something to put at the start of every C file
- The function main is the entry point of the program. i.e., when the program is run, it starts executing from here
- It doesn't have any fancy type: it just returns an integer

 In this example main has an empty (void) argument list: C likes you to indicate explicitly there are no arguments

- In this example main has an empty (void) argument list: C likes you to indicate explicitly there are no arguments
- The function printf ("print formatted") prints stuff, here a string with a newline at the end (the \n)

- In this example main has an empty (void) argument list: C likes you to indicate explicitly there are no arguments
- The function printf ("print formatted") prints stuff, here a string with a newline at the end (the \n)
- The program exits when you return from main

- In this example main has an empty (void) argument list: C likes you to indicate explicitly there are no arguments
- The function printf ("print formatted") prints stuff, here a string with a newline at the end (the \n)
- The program exits when you return from main
- main returns a value back to the operating system when the program finishes. The OS can use this in various ways, if it wishes. The convention is 0 means "finished successfully", while non-zero values can signify various kinds of error

We can compile this file

% gcc -Wall -o hello hello.c

• The % is a command line prompt

- The % is a command line prompt
- -Wall is a option to the compiler that tells it to report all warnings. A warning is something in your code that might not be technically wrong, but is sufficiently dodgy to be worth looking at. Always use this option. You should aim to write code with no warnings (and no errors!)

- The % is a command line prompt
- -Wall is a option to the compiler that tells it to report all warnings. A warning is something in your code that might not be technically wrong, but is sufficiently dodgy to be worth looking at. Always use this option. You should aim to write code with no warnings (and no errors!)
- -Wextra gives even more warnings

- The % is a command line prompt
- -Wall is a option to the compiler that tells it to report all warnings. A warning is something in your code that might not be technically wrong, but is sufficiently dodgy to be worth looking at. Always use this option. You should aim to write code with no warnings (and no errors!)
- -Wextra gives even more warnings
- -Werror makes warnings into errors: the compiler will refuse to produce any output if there are any warnings

- The % is a command line prompt
- -Wall is a option to the compiler that tells it to report all warnings. A warning is something in your code that might not be technically wrong, but is sufficiently dodgy to be worth looking at. Always use this option. You should aim to write code with no warnings (and no errors!)
- -Wextra gives even more warnings
- -Werror makes warnings into errors: the compiler will refuse to produce any output if there are any warnings
- -o hello says put the compiled program in the file named hello. This filename can be anything you like, not necessarily related to the source code file name

Note: this is an example of compiling a C program using a command-line compiler (The GNU C compiler gcc in this case)

Note: this is an example of compiling a C program using a command-line compiler (The GNU C compiler gcc in this case)

Other compilers will likely take different arguments, e.g., another compiler might not recognise -Wall and could have something else equivalent (e.g., -v)

Note: this is an example of compiling a C program using a command-line compiler (The GNU C compiler gcc in this case)

Other compilers will likely take different arguments, e.g., another compiler might not recognise -Wall and could have something else equivalent (e.g., -v)

Many IDEs exist that are supposed to make the management and compilation of large programs easier (e.g., Eclipse, Visual Studio, Xcode)

Note: this is an example of compiling a C program using a command-line compiler (The GNU C compiler gcc in this case)

Other compilers will likely take different arguments, e.g., another compiler might not recognise -Wall and could have something else equivalent (e.g., -v)

Many IDEs exist that are supposed to make the management and compilation of large programs easier (e.g., Eclipse, Visual Studio, Xcode)

Exercise. Investigate these to find something that suits your personal taste

NB: there is a difference between an IDE and a compiler

NB: there is a difference between an IDE and a compiler

Compiler: converts a text source program into executable code

NB: there is a difference between an IDE and a compiler

Compiler: converts a text source program into executable code

IDE: a tool to help the programmer write better programs

NB: there is a difference between an IDE and a compiler

Compiler: converts a text source program into executable code

IDE: a tool to help the programmer write better programs

An IDE will generally *contain* a compiler that it calls when you want to produce executable code, but they are very different things

NB: there is a difference between an IDE and a compiler

Compiler: converts a text source program into executable code

IDE: a tool to help the programmer write better programs

An IDE will generally *contain* a compiler that it calls when you want to produce executable code, but they are very different things

Keep them separate in your mind

Many C compilers exist, both paid-for and free. Different compilers may produce more or less efficient compiled code from the same source.

Many C compilers exist, both paid-for and free. Different compilers may produce more or less efficient compiled code from the same source.

If all is well, a given standard-compliant (e.g., ANSI C11) C program should compile with a standard-compliant C compiler and should run and produce equivalent results independent of the compiler

Many C compilers exist, both paid-for and free. Different compilers may produce more or less efficient compiled code from the same source.

If all is well, a given standard-compliant (e.g., ANSI C11) C program should compile with a standard-compliant C compiler and should run and produce equivalent results independent of the compiler

Exercise. Think about why I said "equivalent results", not "identical results"

Not all (any?) C compilers are fully standard-compliant

Not all (any?) C compilers are fully standard-compliant

Not many C programs are fully standard-compliant

Some compiler writers deliberately put support for non-standard things in their compilers: for reasons both good and bad

Some compiler writers deliberately put support for non-standard things in their compilers: for reasons both good and bad

Good: to add extensions that are genuinely useful to the programmer

Some compiler writers deliberately put support for non-standard things in their compilers: for reasons both good and bad

Good: to add extensions that are genuinely useful to the programmer

Bad: to add extensions that the programmer will become reliant on, not realising they are non-standard, so locking them in to using this particular compiler

Some compiler writers deliberately put support for non-standard things in their compilers: for reasons both good and bad

Good: to add extensions that are genuinely useful to the programmer

Bad: to add extensions that the programmer will become reliant on, not realising they are non-standard, so locking them in to using this particular compiler

A program that excludes extensions and follows the standard will be much more portable

Gcc is a widely available and widely used free compiler on a large number of architectures that produces reasonable (but not the best) code

Gcc is a widely available and widely used free compiler on a large number of architectures that produces reasonable (but not the best) code

It's not the case of paying more to get a better compiler...

Gcc is a widely available and widely used free compiler on a large number of architectures that produces reasonable (but not the best) code

It's not the case of paying more to get a better compiler...

Many other C compilers exist: Intel; Clang; Microsoft; Norcroft; etc.

Also, use a **text editor** (or an IDE) to write programs, not a word processor

Also, use a **text editor** (or an IDE) to write programs, not a word processor

You are not that stupid are you?

Also, use a **text editor** (or an IDE) to write programs, not a word processor

You are not that stupid are you?

And always use a fixed width font when printing out or viewing code. Layout is important in all languages, particularly in C

Running the program

% ./hello hello world

Running the program

% ./hello
hello world

I usually include the ./ to ensure I run the program named hello that lives in the current directory, not some program of the same name from somewhere else in the system

The program is a stand-alone binary (machine instructions) which you can simply run

The program is a stand-alone binary (machine instructions) which you can simply run

It is compiled for a specific OS and hardware architecture, generally the machine you used the compiler on

The program is a stand-alone binary (machine instructions) which you can simply run

It is compiled for a specific OS and hardware architecture, generally the machine you used the compiler on

So that binary probably won't run on a different OS or different hardware architecture: the program will need recompiling for them

The program is a stand-alone binary (machine instructions) which you can simply run

It is compiled for a specific OS and hardware architecture, generally the machine you used the compiler on

So that binary probably won't run on a different OS or different hardware architecture: the program will need recompiling for them

There is no analogue to the java runtime you need to run a Java program

Java: "write once, compile once, run everywhere"

C: "write once, compile everywhere, run everywhere"

Java: "write once, compile once, run everywhere"

C: "write once, compile everywhere, run everywhere"

Another trade-off

Java: "write once, compile once, run everywhere"

C: "write once, compile everywhere, run everywhere"

Another trade-off

Compiling Java produces machine-independent (byte)code that will run anywhere — anywhere is a Java runtime to execute that code

Java: "write once, compile once, run everywhere"

C: "write once, compile everywhere, run everywhere"

Another trade-off

Compiling Java produces machine-independent (byte)code that will run anywhere — anywhere there is a Java runtime to execute that code

Compile C produces machine-specific code that only runs on one OS/architecture, but is optimised for that architecture

С

Compiler Warnings

A bad program. hello2.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main(void)
  int n;
 n = n + 1;
 printf("hello world\n");
  return 0;
```

```
% cc -Wall -o hello2 hello2.c
hello2.c: In function 'main':
hello2.c:7:5: warning: 'n' is used uninitialized
in this function
```

A simple example of a warning message

A simple example of a warning message

It is **very** important you get used to reading warning and error messages

A simple example of a warning message

It is **very** important you get used to reading warning and error messages

You will see loads!

A simple example of a warning message

It is **very** important you get used to reading warning and error messages

You will see loads!

Get used to them, and get used to fixing the things they refer to: don't ignore warnings

A simple example of a warning message

It is **very** important you get used to reading warning and error messages

You will see loads!

Get used to them, and get used to fixing the things they refer to: don't ignore warnings

The quality of error messages varies with the compiler. Gcc produces generally reasonable messages

In this case, the compiler happens to generate a runnable executable; for more serious errors it wouldn't

In this case, the compiler happens to generate a runnable executable; for more serious errors it wouldn't

What happens when you run it is difficult to say...

In this case, the compiler happens to generate a runnable executable; for more serious errors it wouldn't

What happens when you run it is difficult to say...

Note that very exceptionally this kind of thing (running with undefined results) it what you want, but only if you are a programmer who is either (a) very clever, or (b) very stupid

The C compiler Clang is reasonably widely available

The C compiler Clang is reasonably widely available

One of its design aims is to give detailed and accurate error and warning messages

The C compiler Clang is reasonably widely available

One of its design aims is to give detailed and accurate error and warning messages

And to replace Gcc

The C compiler Clang is reasonably widely available

One of its design aims is to give detailed and accurate error and warning messages

And to replace Gcc

It is still under heavy development

The C compiler Clang is reasonably widely available

One of its design aims is to give detailed and accurate error and warning messages

And to replace Gcc

It is still under heavy development

It also uses -Wall to show warnings

C ompiler Wa

Compiler Warnings

```
% clang -Wall -o hello2 hello2.c
hello2.c:7:7: warning: variable 'n' is uninitialized when
used here
      [-Wuninitialized]
n = n + 1;
hello2.c:5:8: note: initialize the variable 'n' to silence
this warning
int n;
- 0
```

Here Clang even gives a suggestion on how to fix the warning

Function Definition

```
#include <stdio.h>
int factorial(int n)
  if (n < 2) return 1;
  return n*factorial(n-1);
int main(void)
 printf("factorial of %d is %d\n", 10, factorial(10));
  return 0;
```

Produces output

factorial of 10 is 3628800

printf("factorial of %d is %d\n", 10, factorial(10));
The first argument to printf is a template for the output

printf("factorial of %d is %d\n", 10, factorial(10));
The first argument to printf is a *template* for the output
Everything apart from % and \n are copied directly

printf("factorial of %d is %d\n", 10, factorial(10));
The first argument to printf is a *template* for the output
Everything apart from % and \n are copied directly
The backslash introduces special characters; in particular \n means "put a newline here"

printf("factorial of %d is %d\n", 10, factorial(10));

The first argument to printf is a *template* for the output

Everything apart from % and n are copied directly

The backslash introduces special characters; in particular \n means "put a newline here"

The % says "read the next argument and put its value here"

The character after the % indicates how the argument should be treated

The character after the % indicates how the argument should be treated

%d means an integer

The character after the % indicates how the argument should be treated

%d means an integer

%f means a floating point number

The character after the % indicates how the argument should be treated

%d means an integer

%f means a floating point number

%s means a string

The character after the % indicates how the argument should be treated

%d means an integer

%f means a floating point number

%s means a string

Generally it is up to the programmer to get arguments of the right types in the right order

```
C
```

produces

integer 65
floating point 99.000000
string hello world

when run

Incorrect code:

```
printf("integer %d\nfloating point %f\nstring %s\n",
99.0, 23 + 42, "hello world");
```

produces

```
printf1.c: In function 'main':
printf1.c:9:3: warning: format '%d' expects type 'int',
but argument 2 has type 'double'
printf1.c:9:3: warning: format '%f' expects type 'double',
but argument 3 has type 'int'
```

when you try to compile it

Other compilers might not be so helpful and simply do what you ask

Other compilers might not be so helpful and simply do what you ask

Giving a floating point number to %d the compiler might simply interpret the (bit pattern that represents the) floating point number as (a bit pattern that represents) an integer, and print it

Other compilers might not be so helpful and simply do what you ask

Giving a floating point number to %d the compiler might simply interpret the (bit pattern that represents the) floating point number as (a bit pattern that represents) an integer, and print it

This is a part of the "you asked for it, you got it" approach of C

printf is a lot more powerful than this: it does all kinds of formatted output (thus the "f" in the name)

printf is a lot more powerful than this: it does all kinds of formatted output (thus the "f" in the name)

Look at the documentation for printf for the gory details

printf is a lot more powerful than this: it does all kinds of formatted output (thus the "f" in the name)

Look at the documentation for printf for the gory details man printf on Linux/Unix systems

printf is a lot more powerful than this: it does all kinds of formatted output (thus the "f" in the name)

Look at the documentation for printf for the gory details

man printf on Linux/Unix systems

In fact, there is masses of documentation online, e.g., man cos for the cosine function

printf is a lot more powerful than this: it does all kinds of formatted output (thus the "f" in the name)

Look at the documentation for printf for the gory details

man printf on Linux/Unix systems

In fact, there is masses of documentation online, e.g., man cos for the cosine function

These manual pages contain a great amount of detailed information: make sure you read them closely to get the most benefit

Exercise. Compile and run hello.c on your own machine

Exercise. Modify hellol.c to print the value of n. Try on a variety of different OSs and compilers and compare the results

Exercise. Read up on printf. How do you print a percent (%), a double quote (") and a backslash ($\)$? What is the difference between %e, %f and %g?

Exercise. Modify factorial to print

```
1 1
2 2
3 6
4 24
5 120
7 5040
8 40320
9 362880
10 3628800
```

C has relatively few built-in types—remember it's low level!—actually just versions of types supported natively by hardware

C has relatively few built-in types—remember it's low level!—actually just versions of types supported natively by hardware

Integers of various kinds and sizes:

C has relatively few built-in types—remember it's low level!—actually just versions of types supported natively by hardware

Integers of various kinds and sizes:

- char
- short int (or simply short)
- int
- long int (or simply long)
- long long int (or simply long long)

Not every compiler supports all these types, particularly long long

Not every compiler supports all these types, particularly long long

As a language, C is adaptable to many kinds of hardware, from tiny embedded systems to huge mainframes

Not every compiler supports all these types, particularly long long

As a language, C is adaptable to many kinds of hardware, from tiny embedded systems to huge mainframes

These are all ranges of integers that have proved to be useful in real programs

Not every compiler supports all these types, particularly long long

As a language, C is adaptable to many kinds of hardware, from tiny embedded systems to huge mainframes

These are all ranges of integers that have proved to be useful in real programs

Interestingly, the C standard does not specify how big each of these types are

Not every compiler supports all these types, particularly long long

As a language, C is adaptable to many kinds of hardware, from tiny embedded systems to huge mainframes

These are all ranges of integers that have proved to be useful in real programs

Interestingly, the C standard does not specify how big each of these types are

An int is often 32 bits (4 bytes), but it doesn't have to be

This helps the adaptability of C to many kinds of hardware

This helps the adaptability of C to many kinds of hardware

But it also introduces a certain amount of extra work in porting a program from one kind of hardware to another

This helps the adaptability of C to many kinds of hardware

But it also introduces a certain amount of extra work in porting a program from one kind of hardware to another

But this is probably a good thing: you don't want to blindly run your program assuming ints are 32 bit on some hardware where they are not

This helps the adaptability of C to many kinds of hardware

But it also introduces a certain amount of extra work in porting a program from one kind of hardware to another

But this is probably a good thing: you don't want to blindly run your program assuming ints are 32 bit on some hardware where they are not

E.g., the processor in an embedded system might not support 32 bit integers, but only 16 bit, perhaps

All the C standard says is that

All the C standard says is that

While $\mathtt{sizeof}(\mathtt{char}) = 1$ this does not mean a char is always one byte

All the C standard says is that

While sizeof(char) = 1 this does not mean a char is always one byte

CPUs with 4 byte chars exist

All the C standard says is that

While sizeof(char) = 1 this does not mean a char is always one byte

CPUs with 4 byte chars exist

They have 32-bit ints with sizeof(int) = 1

Typically, on modern 64 bit PCs we have

Type	bytes
char	1
short int	2
int	4
long int	8
long long int	8

But you should not rely on this in a portable program

Typically, on modern 64 bit PCs we have

Type	bytes
char	1
short int	2
int	4
long int	8
long long int	8

But you should not rely on this in a portable program

Sizes were indeed a problem when people started moving their C programs from 32 bit processors to 64 bit processors