Running Lisp

Lisp can be used a via a compiler (like C) or as an interactive system (like Python)

Running Lisp

Lisp can be used a via a compiler (like C) or as an interactive system (like Python)

Running Lisp

Lisp can be used a via a compiler (like C) or as an interactive system (like Python)

On BUCS Linux machines 1cpu we have

 masrjb/bin/euscheme is an implementation of EuLisp (not a Scheme!)

Running Lisp

Lisp can be used a via a compiler (like C) or as an interactive system (like Python)

- masrjb/bin/euscheme is an implementation of EuLisp (not a Scheme!)
- masrjb/bin/xlisp is an implementation of Scheme

Running Lisp

Lisp can be used a via a compiler (like C) or as an interactive system (like Python)

- masrjb/bin/euscheme is an implementation of EuLisp (not a Scheme!)
- "masrjb/bin/xlisp is an implementation of Scheme
- masrjb/bin/clisp is an implementation of Common Lisp

Running Lisp

Lisp can be used a via a compiler (like C) or as an interactive system (like Python)

- masrjb/bin/euscheme is an implementation of EuLisp (not a Scheme!)
- "masrjb/bin/xlisp is an implementation of Scheme
- masrjb/bin/clisp is an implementation of Common Lisp
- "masrjb/bin/clojure is an implementation of Clojure, a Lisp that runs atop the Java VM

Running Lisp

Lisp can be used a via a compiler (like C) or as an interactive system (like Python)

- masrjb/bin/euscheme is an implementation of EuLisp (not a Scheme!)
- "masrjb/bin/xlisp is an implementation of Scheme
- masrjb/bin/clisp is an implementation of Common Lisp
- masrjb/bin/clojure is an implementation of Clojure, a Lisp that runs atop the Java VM
- Emacs contains a Common Lisp-like interpreter (most of Emacs is written in Lisp)

LispStopping Lisp

A ^ D will exit most of these Lisps

LispStopping Lisp

A ^ D will exit most of these Lisps

If you stuck in a recursive error handler, you might have to hit ^ D several times

Expressions

When you type an expression at a Lisp interpreter, it takes that as a sign you want it evaluated

Expressions

When you type an expression at a Lisp interpreter, it takes that as a sign you want it evaluated

(+ 1 2) will return 3

Expressions

When you type an expression at a Lisp interpreter, it takes that as a sign you want it evaluated

```
(+ 1 2) will return 3
```

Expressions

When you type an expression at a Lisp interpreter, it takes that as a sign you want it evaluated

```
(+ 1 2) will return 3
```

Note: + names a *n*-ary function

Expressions

When you type an expression at a Lisp interpreter, it takes that as a sign you want it evaluated

(+ 1 2) will return 3

(+ (+ 1 2 3) (* 2 8)) evaluates (+ 1 2 3) to get 6; (* 2 8) to get 16; then (+ 6 16) to get 22

Note: + names a *n*-ary function

Note: + and * have no special syntactic significance; they are treated exactly as symbols like sin or foo

length is the name of a function that takes a list and returns its length

length is the name of a function that takes a list and returns its length

The length of ((a b) 1 2 (d 1 3)) is 4

length is the name of a function that takes a list and returns its length

The length of ((a b) 1 2 (d 1 3)) is 4

So we go (length (a b c)) and expect to get 3?

Expressions

No: we get something like

```
Continuable error---calling default handler:
Condition class is #<class unbound-error>
```

message: "variable unbound in module 'user'"

value: c

Debug loop. Type help: for help Broken at #<Code #157f1330>

DEBUG>

in euscheme

Expressions

```
The following restarts are available:
USE-VALUE :R1 You may input a value to be used instead
of (FDEFINITION 'A).
RETRY :R2 Retry
STORE-VALUE :R3 You may input a new value for (FDEFINITION 'A).
ABORT :R4 ABORT
```

in clisp

Break 1 [2]>

*** - EVAL: undefined function A

Expressions

```
error: unbound variable - c
happened in: #<Code #x2aee177d7488>
Entering break loop ('(reset)' to quit)
Debug 1> [1]
in xlisp
```

Expressions

```
java.lang.Exception: Unable to resolve symbol: length in this context
clojure.lang.Compiler$CompilerException: NO_SOURCE_FILE:1: Unable to
resolve symbol: length in this context
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.analyze(Compiler.java:3713)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.analyze(Compiler.java:3671)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.access$100(Compiler.java:37)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler$InvokeExpr.parse(Compiler.java:2634)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.analyzeSeq(Compiler.java:3860)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.analyze(Compiler.java:3698)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.analyze(Compiler.java:3671)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.access$100(Compiler.java:37)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler$BodyExpr$Parser.parse(Compiler.java:3384)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler$FnMethod.parse(Compiler.java:3231)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler$FnMethod.access$1200(Compiler.java:3142)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler$FnExpr.parse(Compiler.java:2766)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.analyzeSeq(Compiler.java:3856)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.analyze(Compiler.java:3698)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.eval(Compiler.java:3889)
        at clojure.lang.Repl.main(Repl.java:75)
Caused by: java.lang.Exception: Unable to resolve symbol: length in this context
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.resolveIn(Compiler.java:4019)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.resolve(Compiler.java:3972)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.analyzeSymbol(Compiler.java:3955)
        at clojure.lang.Compiler.analyze(Compiler.java:3686)
        ... 15 more
```

in Clojure — it doesn't implement length!

The problem is that you asked the Lisp to evaluate (length (a b c))

The problem is that you asked the Lisp to evaluate (length (a b c))

Compare with evaluating (- (* 2 3))

The problem is that you asked the Lisp to evaluate (length (a b c))

Compare with evaluating (- (* 2 3))

This, naturally, evaluates the (* 2 3) and then the -

The problem is that you asked the Lisp to evaluate (length (a b c))

Compare with evaluating (- (* 2 3))

This, naturally, evaluates the (* 2 3) and then the -

In the same way, it tries to evaluate (a b c) to get something to pass to length

Expressions

It is regarding the (a b c) as program, not data

It is regarding the (a b c) as program, not data

The function a is not defined; the variables b and c are not defined

It is regarding the (a b c) as program, not data

The function a is not defined; the variables b and c are not defined

So they all show an error message and dump you in an error loop

It is regarding the (a b c) as program, not data

The function a is not defined; the variables b and c are not defined

So they all show an error message and dump you in an error loop

Error loops allow you to investigate problems interactively; more later

So how can it tell that we wanted the (a b c) to be data (a list of three symbols), not a (broken) bit of code to be evaluated?

So how can it tell that we wanted the (a b c) to be data (a list of three symbols), not a (broken) bit of code to be evaluated?

Remember: program is identical to data in Lisp

So how can it tell that we wanted the (a b c) to be data (a list of three symbols), not a (broken) bit of code to be evaluated?

Remember: program is identical to data in Lisp

So there is a *special form* named quote we use to say "don't eval this, it's data"

So how can it tell that we wanted the (a b c) to be data (a list of three symbols), not a (broken) bit of code to be evaluated?

Remember: program is identical to data in Lisp

So there is a *special form* named quote we use to say "don't eval this, it's data"

quote is the "opposite" to eval

Expressions

```
(quote (a b c))
```

quote is exempt from normal evaluation, it says "stop, eval no deeper"

Expressions

```
(quote (a b c))
```

quote is exempt from normal evaluation, it says "stop, eval no deeper"

If we type (quote (a b c)) at Lisp we get:

Expressions

```
(quote (a b c))
quote is exempt from normal evaluation, it says "stop, eval no
deeper"

If we type (quote (a b c)) at Lisp we get:
(a b c)
```

Expressions

```
(quote (a b c))
quote is exempt from normal evaluation, it says "stop, eval no
deeper"

If we type (quote (a b c)) at Lisp we get:
(a b c)

If we type (length (quote (a b c))) at Lisp we get:
```

Expressions

```
(quote (a b c))
quote is exempt from normal evaluation, it says "stop, eval no
deeper"
If we type (quote (a b c)) at Lisp we get:
(a b c)
If we type (length (quote (a b c))) at Lisp we get:
3
```

Expressions

quote is so important there is a syntactic abbreviation:

```
'(a b c)
```

means exactly the same as (quote (a b c))

Expressions

quote is so important there is a syntactic abbreviation:

```
'(a b c)
means exactly the same as (quote (a b c))
(length '(a b c)) returns 3
```

Expressions

quote is so important there is a syntactic abbreviation:

means exactly the same as (quote (a b c))

(length '(a b c)) returns 3

Remember: typing something at the prompt means you want Lisp to evaluate it

Expressions

quote is so important there is a syntactic abbreviation:

means exactly the same as (quote (a b c))

(length '(a b c)) returns 3

Remember: typing something at the prompt means you want Lisp to evaluate it

So if you don't, use quote

We can quote any expression: ' \boldsymbol{x} evaluates to the symbol \boldsymbol{x}

We can quote any expression: \dot{x} evaluates to the symbol \dot{x}

Warning: you will make errors with quote, either missing one where needed, or putting one in when not

We can quote any expression: 'x evaluates to the symbol x

Warning: you will make errors with quote, either missing one where needed, or putting one in when not

You need a quote any place you have something that you want to be regarded as data that would otherwise be evaluated

We can quote any expression: 'x evaluates to the symbol x

Warning: you will make errors with quote, either missing one where needed, or putting one in when not

You need a quote any place you have something that you want to be regarded as data that would otherwise be evaluated

Exercise: what do we get from evaluating ','x?

We can quote any expression: \dot{x} evaluates to the symbol \dot{x}

Warning: you will make errors with quote, either missing one where needed, or putting one in when not

You need a quote any place you have something that you want to be regarded as data that would otherwise be evaluated

Exercise: what do we get from evaluating ''x?

Exercise: what do we get from evaluating '(+ 1 2)?

Evaluation

Most atoms are self-evaluating

Most atoms are self-evaluating

1 evaluates to 1

Most atoms are self-evaluating

- 1 evaluates to 1
- "hello" evaluates to "hello"

Most atoms are self-evaluating

- 1 evaluates to 1
- "hello" evaluates to "hello"

Symbols evaluate to their current value, if any

Most atoms are self-evaluating

- 1 evaluates to 1
- "hello" evaluates to "hello"

Symbols evaluate to their current value, if any Just like variables in other languages

Most atoms are self-evaluating

- 1 evaluates to 1
- "hello" evaluates to "hello"

Symbols evaluate to their current value, if any

Just like variables in other languages

If the symbol has no current value, evaluating it results in an error (usually)

Typically, in Lisp, we don't have to declare variables before use

Typically, in Lisp, we don't have to declare variables before use Variables don't have types associated with them

Typically, in Lisp, we don't have to declare variables before use Variables don't have types associated with them

A variable can hold any object of any type

Typically, in Lisp, we don't have to declare variables before use Variables don't have types associated with them

A variable can hold any object of any type

The types are in the *objects*, not the *variables*

Evaluation

Lists evaluate as function calls: (f a b)

Lists evaluate as function calls: (f a b)

When the list is not a *special form*, like quote:

Lists evaluate as function calls: (f a b)

When the list is not a *special form*, like quote:

evaluate the arguments

Lists evaluate as function calls: (f a b)

When the list is not a *special form*, like quote:

- evaluate the arguments
- evaluate the function to call

Lists evaluate as function calls: (f a b)

When the list is not a special form, like quote:

- evaluate the arguments
- evaluate the function to call
- call the function on the arguments

Lists evaluate as function calls: (f a b)

When the list is not a *special form*, like quote:

- evaluate the arguments
- evaluate the function to call
- call the function on the arguments

Each special form has its own, individual, evaluation rule

Lists evaluate as function calls: (f a b)

When the list is not a *special form*, like quote:

- evaluate the arguments
- evaluate the function to call
- call the function on the arguments

Each special form has its own, individual, evaluation rule quote's rule is: don't evaluate the argument

Some Lisps evaluate the function before the arguments; others after

Some Lisps evaluate the function before the arguments; others after

Some Lisps evaluate the arguments left-to-right; others right-to-left; others as they see fit

Some Lisps evaluate the function before the arguments; others after

Some Lisps evaluate the arguments left-to-right; others right-to-left; others as they see fit

Some Lisps evaluate the arguments in parallel

Some Lisps evaluate the function before the arguments; others after

Some Lisps evaluate the arguments left-to-right; others right-to-left; others as they see fit

Some Lisps evaluate the arguments in parallel

So don't write code that relies on, say foo being executed before bar in (+ (foo 2) (bar 4 5))

Some Lisps evaluate the function before the arguments; others after

Some Lisps evaluate the arguments left-to-right; others right-to-left; others as they see fit

Some Lisps evaluate the arguments in parallel

So don't write code that relies on, say foo being executed before bar in (+ (foo 2) (bar 4 5))

Bad to do that in most languages, anyway

Evaluation

So (+ (* 2 3) 4) is evaluated as, say,

So (+ (* 2 3) 4) is evaluated as, say,

• + is the name of the addition function

So (+ (* 2 3) 4) is evaluated as, say,

- + is the name of the addition function
- 4 self-evaluates to 4

- + is the name of the addition function
- 4 self-evaluates to 4
- (* 2 3) evaluates as

- + is the name of the addition function
- 4 self-evaluates to 4
- (* 2 3) evaluates as
 - * is the name of the multiplication function

- + is the name of the addition function
- 4 self-evaluates to 4
- (* 2 3) evaluates as
 - * is the name of the multiplication function
 - 2 self-evaluates to 2

- + is the name of the addition function
- 4 self-evaluates to 4
- (* 2 3) evaluates as
 - * is the name of the multiplication function
 - 2 self-evaluates to 2
 - 3 self-evaluates to 3

- + is the name of the addition function
- 4 self-evaluates to 4
- (* 2 3) evaluates as
 - * is the name of the multiplication function
 - 2 self-evaluates to 2
 - 3 self-evaluates to 3
 - call multiplication on 2 and 3: get 6

- · + is the name of the addition function
- 4 self-evaluates to 4
- (* 2 3) evaluates as
 - * is the name of the multiplication function
 - 2 self-evaluates to 2
 - 3 self-evaluates to 3
 - call multiplication on 2 and 3: get 6
- call addition on 4 and 6: get 10

So (+ (* 2 3) 4) is evaluated as, say,

- + is the name of the addition function
- 4 self-evaluates to 4
- (* 2 3) evaluates as
 - * is the name of the multiplication function
 - 2 self-evaluates to 2
 - 3 self-evaluates to 3
 - call multiplication on 2 and 3: get 6
- call addition on 4 and 6: get 10

We are being fussy here as it makes a big difference later

Special forms are treated specially

Special forms are treated specially

The first special form is quote: it stops evaluation

Special forms are treated specially

The first special form is quote: it stops evaluation

Another special form is if, as in (if test trueexpr falseexpr)

Special forms are treated specially

The first special form is quote: it stops evaluation

Another special form is if, as in (if test trueexpr falseexpr)

Exercise: think why it is a special form. Answer later

In some Lisps (e.g., Scheme, EuLisp; generally on the right side of the family tree) collectively called *Lisp-1s* the function position is the same as the arguments

In some Lisps (e.g., Scheme, EuLisp; generally on the right side of the family tree) collectively called *Lisp-1s* the function position is the same as the arguments

In (+ x y) the + is evaluated in the same way as the x and y

In some Lisps (e.g., Scheme, EuLisp; generally on the right side of the family tree) collectively called *Lisp-1s* the function position is the same as the arguments

In (+ x y) the + is evaluated in the same way as the x and y

The value of the symbol + is a function that adds things

Evaluation

If you type + at such a Lisp, you get something like #<Subr +>

Evaluation

If you type + at such a Lisp, you get something like #<Subr +>
This is a way of saying "some code for something"

If you type + at such a Lisp, you get something like #<Subr +>

This is a way of saying "some code for something"

There's no simple way of outputting code like there is for strings or numbers

If you type + at such a Lisp, you get something like #<Subr +>

This is a way of saying "some code for something"

There's no simple way of outputting code like there is for strings or numbers

But functions (code) are first class objects: you can pass these values to other functions: (compose sqrt sin) is meaningful

If you type + at such a Lisp, you get something like #<Subr +>

This is a way of saying "some code for something"

There's no simple way of outputting code like there is for strings or numbers

But functions (code) are first class objects: you can pass these values to other functions: (compose sqrt sin) is meaningful

Exercise. The function list makes a list of its arguments, so (list 1 2) returns (1 2). What is (list list list)?

If you type + at such a Lisp, you get something like #<Subr +>

This is a way of saying "some code for something"

There's no simple way of outputting code like there is for strings or numbers

But functions (code) are first class objects: you can pass these values to other functions: (compose sqrt sin) is meaningful

Exercise. The function list makes a list of its arguments, so (list 1 2) returns (1 2). What is (list list list)?

Exercise. Compare this with making a list using quote: '(list list)

In a Lisp-1, the function position can be an arbitrary expression: consider ((if (> \times 1) \sin cos) 3.0)

In a Lisp-1, the function position can be an arbitrary expression: consider ((if $(> x \ 1) \sin \cos) \ 3.0$)

3.0 self-evaluates to 3.0

In a Lisp-1, the function position can be an arbitrary expression: consider ((if $(> x \ 1) \sin \cos) \ 3.0$)

3.0 self-evaluates to 3.0

The function position evaluates to either the value of the symbol sin or the value of the symbol cos, presumably the functions sin and cos, respectively

In a Lisp-1, the function position can be an arbitrary expression: consider ((if $(> x \ 1) \sin \cos) \ 3.0$)

3.0 self-evaluates to 3.0

The function position evaluates to either the value of the symbol sin or the value of the symbol cos, presumably the functions sin and cos, respectively

It then calls that function with the argument 3.0

So, for a Lisp-1, a list is evaluated as

evaluate all the items in the list; then call the first item with arguments the remainder of the items

So, for a Lisp-1, a list is evaluated as

evaluate all the items in the list; then call the first item with arguments the remainder of the items

If the first item turns out not to be a function, this will be an error

So, for a Lisp-1, a list is evaluated as

evaluate all the items in the list; then call the first item with arguments the remainder of the items

If the first item turns out not to be a function, this will be an error Remember trying to evaluate (a b c)

So, for a Lisp-1, a list is evaluated as

evaluate all the items in the list; then call the first item with arguments the remainder of the items

If the first item turns out not to be a function, this will be an error

Remember trying to evaluate (a b c)

Similarly trying to evaluate (1 2 3)

Evaluation

In other Lisps (e.g., Common Lisp, Emacs Lisp; generally on the left side of the family tree) collectively called *Lisp-2s* the function position is treated differently

Evaluation

In other Lisps (e.g., Common Lisp, Emacs Lisp; generally on the left side of the family tree) collectively called *Lisp-2s* the function position is treated differently

Such Lisps keep function objects separate from other objects

Evaluation

In other Lisps (e.g., Common Lisp, Emacs Lisp; generally on the left side of the family tree) collectively called *Lisp-2s* the function position is treated differently

Such Lisps keep function objects separate from other objects

A symbol has (possibly) *two* values: a function value to use in function position and an ordinary value to use in argument position

Evaluation

In other Lisps (e.g., Common Lisp, Emacs Lisp; generally on the left side of the family tree) collectively called *Lisp-2s* the function position is treated differently

Such Lisps keep function objects separate from other objects

A symbol has (possibly) *two* values: a function value to use in function position and an ordinary value to use in argument position

Either can be present or absent independently and will cause an error if you try to use it when it is not set

Evaluation

In other Lisps (e.g., Common Lisp, Emacs Lisp; generally on the left side of the family tree) collectively called *Lisp-2s* the function position is treated differently

Such Lisps keep function objects separate from other objects

A symbol has (possibly) *two* values: a function value to use in function position and an ordinary value to use in argument position

Either can be present or absent independently and will cause an error if you try to use it when it is not set

They are stored in the *function cell* and the *value cell* of the symbol

Evaluation

Such a Lisp evaluates a (non-special form) list as

Evaluation

Such a Lisp evaluates a (non-special form) list as

 evaluate the arguments: for the values of variables you look in their value cells

Evaluation

Such a Lisp evaluates a (non-special form) list as

- evaluate the arguments: for the values of variables you look in their value cells
- look in the function cell of the symbol in the function position

Evaluation

Such a Lisp evaluates a (non-special form) list as

- evaluate the arguments: for the values of variables you look in their value cells
- look in the function cell of the symbol in the function position
- call the function you find there on the arguments

Evaluation

Such a Lisp evaluates a (non-special form) list as

- evaluate the arguments: for the values of variables you look in their value cells
- look in the function cell of the symbol in the function position
- call the function you find there on the arguments

If you want the function value of a symbol when it is in a value position, use the special form function, as in (function list) or its equivalent #'list

Evaluation

Such a Lisp evaluates a (non-special form) list as

- evaluate the arguments: for the values of variables you look in their value cells
- look in the function cell of the symbol in the function position
- call the function you find there on the arguments

If you want the function value of a symbol when it is in a value position, use the special form function, as in (function list) or its equivalent #'list

Weird, as #' is not really a quote

The reason for this is a mixture of history (some older Lisps did this) and concerns for efficiency

The reason for this is a mixture of history (some older Lisps did this) and concerns for efficiency

It is arranged so that the function cell can *only* hold a function: you can't put a non-function (e.g., a number or string) into the function cell. The mechanism that stores things in the function cell checks and errors if it's a non-function

The reason for this is a mixture of history (some older Lisps did this) and concerns for efficiency

It is arranged so that the function cell can *only* hold a function: you can't put a non-function (e.g., a number or string) into the function cell. The mechanism that stores things in the function cell checks and errors if it's a non-function

So when calling a function (foo 1) you don't need to check the thing "in" foo is a function before calling it: it must be

The reason for this is a mixture of history (some older Lisps did this) and concerns for efficiency

It is arranged so that the function cell can *only* hold a function: you can't put a non-function (e.g., a number or string) into the function cell. The mechanism that stores things in the function cell checks and errors if it's a non-function

So when calling a function (foo 1) you don't need to check the thing "in" foo is a function before calling it: it must be

So this is slightly faster than a Lisp-1

So this is another place to be careful in porting between Lisps

So this is another place to be careful in porting between Lisps Exercise. In a Lisp-2, what is the result of (list list list)?

So this is another place to be careful in porting between Lisps

Exercise. In a Lisp-2, what is the result of (list list list)?

Exercise. Suggest something that gives the same result as the Lisp-1 version

Evaluation

Lisp-2s don't stop you storing a function in the value cell, but to get the expected behaviour you should use the function cell

Lisp-2s don't stop you storing a function in the value cell, but to get the expected behaviour you should use the function cell

You use

#' to get the function value of a symbol:
 #'list →<a function>

Lisp-2s don't stop you storing a function in the value cell, but to get the expected behaviour you should use the function cell

You use

- #' to get the function value of a symbol:
 #'list →<a function>
- funcall to call a function that is stored in the value cell of a symbol: (funcall myfun 1 2), since a simple (myfun 1 2) will look in the function cell of myfun

Lisp-2s don't stop you storing a function in the value cell, but to get the expected behaviour you should use the function cell

You use

- #' to get the function value of a symbol:
 #'list →<a function>
- funcall to call a function that is stored in the value cell of a symbol: (funcall myfun 1 2), since a simple (myfun 1 2) will look in the function cell of myfun

Lisp-1s don't have or need #' and funcall

In a Lisp-2 you cannot write code like

as the object in the function position must be a symbol (with an exception...)

Evaluation

In a Lisp-2 you cannot write code like

as the object in the function position must be a symbol (with an exception...)

```
> ((if (> 1 2) #'sin #'cos) 1.0)
```

*** - EVAL: (IF (> 1 2) #'SIN #'COS) is not a function name; try using a symbol instead

Evaluation

What you need is

```
(funcall (if (> 1 2) #'sin #'cos) 1.0)
```

What you need is

```
(funcall (if (> 1 2) #'sin #'cos) 1.0)
```

Lisp-2s gain a slight efficiency over Lisp-1s when calling functions

What you need is

```
(funcall (if (> 1 2) #'sin #'cos) 1.0)
```

Lisp-2s gain a slight efficiency over Lisp-1s when calling functions

They lose a lot in simplicity and generality

Evaluation

To evaluate (a b c)

Lisp-1s:

- evaluate a
- check if a is a function
- evaluate b
- evaluate c
- call the function on those values

(in some order)

Lisp-2s:

- evaluate a using its function cell
- evaluate b using its value cell
- · evaluate c using its value cell
- call the function on those values

Lisp-1s:

- · variables have a single value
- · evaluation is uniform across the elements of a list
- evaluation is slightly slower than Lisp-2s

Lisp-2s:

- variables have two values, in the function cell and the value cell
- evaluation is more complex: use the function cell in the function position, use the value cell in the argument position
- need to use function (or #') to get at the function cell, and use funcal1 to call a function in the value cell
- evaluation is slightly faster than Lisp-1s