Purpose: to improve readability of other code, abstraction, textual manipulation

Examples: Cpp, LATEX, M4, macros in Lisp

Notable features: usually lexical (character or text) based, with some exceptions (Lisp, Rust)

Feet

#### LATEX:

```
\documentclass[12pt]{article}
\usepackage{latexgun,latexshoot}
\begin{document}
See how easy it is to shoot yourself in the foot? \\
\gun[leftfoot]{shoot} \\
\pain
\end{document}
```

These are used widely, in a huge variety of contexts

These are used widely, in a huge variety of contexts

Often used in conjunction with another language, e.g., the C preprocessor

These are used widely, in a huge variety of contexts

Often used in conjunction with another language, e.g., the C preprocessor

So not often thought about in great detail, but are used to great effect

These are used widely, in a huge variety of contexts

Often used in conjunction with another language, e.g., the C preprocessor

So not often thought about in great detail, but are used to great effect

Particularly *conditional macros* whose expansion depends on other factors

So, in C, we can write code like

```
#ifdef SMALLINT
#define NUMBER short
#else
#define NUMBER int
#endif
```

So, in C, we can write code like

```
#ifdef SMALLINT
#define NUMBER short
#else
#define NUMBER int
#endif
```

Then if we use NUMBER everywhere in our code NUMBER  $\mathbf{x}$ ;

. . .

it takes only a single change to make our code use short rather than int: very useful for source code portability between architectures

```
#define _ F-->00||-F-00--;
int F=00,00=00; main(){F_00(); printf("%1.3f\n",4.*-F/00/00);}F_00()
```

An enthusiastic use of C macros by Brian Westley

In contrast to most languages, Lisp macros are not text based, but expression based

In contrast to most languages, Lisp macros are not text based, but expression based

And the macro language is Lisp itself, not a separate language: recall that programs are data!

In contrast to most languages, Lisp macros are not text based, but expression based

And the macro language is Lisp itself, not a separate language: recall that programs are data!

```
(defmacro head (1) (list 'car 1))
```

In contrast to most languages, Lisp macros are not text based, but expression based

And the macro language is Lisp itself, not a separate language: recall that programs are data!

```
(defmacro head (1) (list 'car 1))
```

defmacro defines the code to be executed (in the compiler or interpreter) when expanding the macro named head

In contrast to most languages, Lisp macros are not text based, but expression based

And the macro language is Lisp itself, not a separate language: recall that programs are data!

```
(defmacro head (1) (list 'car 1))
```

defmacro defines the code to be executed (in the compiler or interpreter) when expanding the macro named head

When compiling (head x), the compiler first expands the macro head by executing (list 'car 1), where 1 has the value x, to get (car x)

In contrast to most languages, Lisp macros are not text based, but expression based

And the macro language is Lisp itself, not a separate language: recall that programs are data!

```
(defmacro head (1) (list 'car 1))
```

defmacro defines the code to be executed (in the compiler or interpreter) when expanding the macro named head

When compiling (head x), the compiler first expands the macro head by executing (list 'car 1), where 1 has the value x, to get (car x)

The compiler then compiles (car x)

The full power of Lisp applies to macroexpansion

The full power of Lisp applies to macroexpansion

Will expand baz at compile time to a car or a cdr

Sometimes macros are used in their own right, e.g., LATEX, the typesetting language is macro based

Sometimes macros are used in their own right, e.g.,  $\prescript{LTEX}$ , the typesetting language is macro based

These slides are written in LATEX

Sometimes macros are used in their own right, e.g., LATEX, the typesetting language is macro based

These slides are written in LATEX

```
\begin{frame}
\frametitle{Macro languages}
\framesubtitle{\LaTeX}
```

Sometimes macros are used in their own right, e.g., \LaTeX, the typesetting language is macro based

\paruncover2{These slides are written in \LaTeX} \end{frame}

The basic datatype is text and you define macros as convenient shorthands for things you like to do to that text

The basic datatype is text and you define macros as convenient shorthands for things you like to do to that text

For example, a new chapter needs a new page, a big heading, lots of space before the next text

The basic datatype is text and you define macros as convenient shorthands for things you like to do to that text

For example, a new chapter needs a new page, a big heading, lots of space before the next text

So you define a macro, say \chapter, that does all this

The basic datatype is text and you define macros as convenient shorthands for things you like to do to that text

For example, a new chapter needs a new page, a big heading, lots of space before the next text

So you define a macro, say \chapter, that does all this

Usually, someone else has created an entire macro package so you don't have to

Purpose: control of other elements of a system, e.g., programs, "glue" to join elements together

Examples: DOS batch, sh, Python, Sed, Perl, Ruby, JavaScript . . .

Notable features: not particularly good at classical number crunching; generally lots of string processing and process manipulation

Purpose: control of other elements of a system, e.g., programs, "glue" to join elements together

Examples: DOS batch, sh, Python, Sed, Perl, Ruby, JavaScript . . .

Notable features: not particularly good at classical number crunching; generally lots of string processing and process manipulation

They are called "scripts" as they are (were originally) lists of things to be done

Purpose: control of other elements of a system, e.g., programs, "glue" to join elements together

Examples: DOS batch, sh, Python, Sed, Perl, Ruby, JavaScript . . .

Notable features: not particularly good at classical number crunching; generally lots of string processing and process manipulation

They are called "scripts" as they are (were originally) lists of things to be done

Often, but not exclusively, interpreted rather than compiled

#### Feet

 DOS batch: You aim the gun at your foot and pull the trigger, but only a weak gust of warm air hits your foot

- DOS batch: You aim the gun at your foot and pull the trigger, but only a weak gust of warm air hits your foot
- Sh, csh, bash: You can't remember the syntax for anything so you spend five hours reading man pages then your foot falls asleep. You then shoot the computer and switch to Perl

- DOS batch: You aim the gun at your foot and pull the trigger, but only a weak gust of warm air hits your foot
- Sh, csh, bash: You can't remember the syntax for anything so you spend five hours reading man pages then your foot falls asleep. You then shoot the computer and switch to Perl
- Perl: You shoot yourself in the foot, but nobody can understand how you did it. Six months later, neither can you

- DOS batch: You aim the gun at your foot and pull the trigger, but only a weak gust of warm air hits your foot
- Sh, csh, bash: You can't remember the syntax for anything so you spend five hours reading man pages then your foot falls asleep. You then shoot the computer and switch to Perl
- Perl: You shoot yourself in the foot, but nobody can understand how you did it. Six months later, neither can you
- Ruby: Your foot is ready to be shot in roughly five minutes, but you just can't find anywhere to shoot it

- DOS batch: You aim the gun at your foot and pull the trigger, but only a weak gust of warm air hits your foot
- Sh, csh, bash: You can't remember the syntax for anything so you spend five hours reading man pages then your foot falls asleep. You then shoot the computer and switch to Perl
- Perl: You shoot yourself in the foot, but nobody can understand how you did it. Six months later, neither can you
- Ruby: Your foot is ready to be shot in roughly five minutes, but you just can't find anywhere to shoot it
- JavaScript: You've perfected a robust, rich user experience for shooting yourself in the foot. You then find that bullets are disabled on your gun

Also widely used

Also widely used

The original scripts were the job control languages for the early mainframes

Also widely used

The original scripts were the job control languages for the early mainframes

For example, IBM's JCL was used to describe a list of programs to be loaded and run: recall batch processing

 JCL: You send your foot down to MIS with a 4000-page document explaining how you want it to be shot. Three years later, your foot comes back deep-fried

The Unix command line language, originally sh (the Bourne Shell), lately bash (the Bourne Again shell)

The Unix command line language, originally sh (the Bourne Shell), lately bash (the Bourne Again shell)

Simple textual lists of things (programs) to be done

The Unix command line language, originally sh (the Bourne Shell), lately bash (the Bourne Again shell)

Simple textual lists of things (programs) to be done

```
#!/bin/sh
setxkbmap -option "compose:menu" -option "ctrl:nocaps"
dispwin -L
[ "$XAUTHORITY" ] && cp -f "$XAUTHORITY" ~/.Xauthority
```

Shell scripts are widely used to automate repetitive and complex tasks

Shell scripts are widely used to automate repetitive and complex tasks

Originally reasonably simple but they have grown more complex over time

Shell scripts are widely used to automate repetitive and complex tasks

Originally reasonably simple but they have grown more complex over time

Crucially, they do not require a GUI so can be deployed automatically over large numbers of machines

Shell scripts are widely used to automate repetitive and complex tasks

Originally reasonably simple but they have grown more complex over time

Crucially, they do not require a GUI so can be deployed automatically over large numbers of machines

Somewhat low-level, so not so good for more complex tasks, or less complex programmers

Example: Sed. A very simple scripting language, capable of just one task

Example: Sed. A very simple scripting language, capable of just one task

String manipulation: sed is a stream editor

Example: Sed. A very simple scripting language, capable of just one task

String manipulation: sed is a stream editor

Reads files one line at a time (as a *stream*) and edits them, one line at a time, according to given rules

Example: Sed. A very simple scripting language, capable of just one task

String manipulation: sed is a stream editor

Reads files one line at a time (as a *stream*) and edits them, one line at a time, according to given rules

sed -e 's/hello/goodbye/'
Substitutes goodbye for occurrences of hello in its input

Uses regular expressions for patterns

Uses regular expressions for patterns

Can edit files too large to fit into memory all at once

Uses regular expressions for patterns

Can edit files too large to fit into memory all at once

Usually used as a component in shell scripts

Uses regular expressions for patterns

Can edit files too large to fit into memory all at once

Usually used as a component in shell scripts

Limited to line-by-line editing

Uses regular expressions for patterns

Can edit files too large to fit into memory all at once

Usually used as a component in shell scripts

Limited to line-by-line editing

More general is awk, but better is Perl

Perl is/was used a lot in Web page creation and manipulation, amongst many other things

Perl is/was used a lot in Web page creation and manipulation, amongst many other things

The basic datatype is the string

Perl is/was used a lot in Web page creation and manipulation, amongst many other things

The basic datatype is the string

The basic operation is pattern matching

Perl is/was used a lot in Web page creation and manipulation, amongst many other things

The basic datatype is the string

The basic operation is pattern matching

It is also procedural, has first class functions, has objects and so on

Perl is/was used a lot in Web page creation and manipulation, amongst many other things

The basic datatype is the string

The basic operation is pattern matching

It is also procedural, has first class functions, has objects and so on

The syntax is the usual C/Java/whatever, but with a few features

```
open IN, '<', 'infile';
open OUT, '>outfile';
count = 0;
while (<IN>) {
  s/world/everybody/ if (/hello/);
  print OUT;
  $count++;
close IN;
close OUT;
print "$count lines\n";
```

Perl

 open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name

- open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name
- · or joined onto the filename

- open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name
- · or joined onto the filename
- IN: filestream variables are syntactically different from normal variables

- open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name
- · or joined onto the filename
- IN: filestream variables are syntactically different from normal variables
- \$count: scalar (single value) variable names are prefixed by \$

- open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name
- · or joined onto the filename
- IN: filestream variables are syntactically different from normal variables
- \$count: scalar (single value) variable names are prefixed by \$
- array variable names are prefixed by @

- open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name
- · or joined onto the filename
- IN: filestream variables are syntactically different from normal variables
- \$count: scalar (single value) variable names are prefixed by \$
- array variable names are prefixed by @
- function variable names are prefixed by &

- open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name
- · or joined onto the filename
- IN: filestream variables are syntactically different from normal variables
- \$count: scalar (single value) variable names are prefixed by \$
- array variable names are prefixed by @
- function variable names are prefixed by &
- \$f is separate from @f and &f

- open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name
- · or joined onto the filename
- IN: filestream variables are syntactically different from normal variables
- \$count: scalar (single value) variable names are prefixed by \$
- array variable names are prefixed by @
- function variable names are prefixed by &
- \$f is separate from @f and &f
- <>: operator returns a single line of the file each time it is called

- open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name
- · or joined onto the filename
- IN: filestream variables are syntactically different from normal variables
- \$count: scalar (single value) variable names are prefixed by \$
- array variable names are prefixed by @
- function variable names are prefixed by &
- \$f is separate from @f and &f
- <>: operator returns a single line of the file each time it is called
- and false at end of file

- open: takes strings '<' to indicate input and '>' to indicate output; then a file name
- · or joined onto the filename
- IN: filestream variables are syntactically different from normal variables
- \$count: scalar (single value) variable names are prefixed by \$
- array variable names are prefixed by @
- function variable names are prefixed by &
- \$f is separate from @f and &f
- <>: operator returns a single line of the file each time it is called
- and false at end of file
- it assigns to the variable \$\_

#### Perl

 \$\_ is a default argument and can be left out of many places, e.g., print; is equivalent to print \$\_;

- \$\_ is a default argument and can be left out of many places, e.g., print; is equivalent to print \$\_;
- statement if (test); as well as the usual if (test) { statements; } (and with else)

- \$\_ is a default argument and can be left out of many places, e.g., print; is equivalent to print \$\_;
- statement if (test); as well as the usual if (test) { statements; } (and with else)
- // for pattern matching; in this case it looks to see if the default \$\_ contains the string hello

- \$\_ is a default argument and can be left out of many places, e.g., print; is equivalent to print \$\_;
- statement if (test); as well as the usual if (test) { statements; } (and with else)
- // for pattern matching; in this case it looks to see if the default \$\_ contains the string hello
- s///: if the string contains world, replace it by the string everybody (again, using the default \$\_)

#### Perl

- \$\_ is a default argument and can be left out of many places, e.g., print; is equivalent to print \$\_;
- statement if (test); as well as the usual if (test) { statements; } (and with else)
- // for pattern matching; in this case it looks to see if the default \$\_ contains the string hello
- s//: if the string contains world, replace it by the string everybody (again, using the default \$\_)
- \$count++; lots of C-like features

#### Perl

- \$\_ is a default argument and can be left out of many places, e.g., print; is equivalent to print \$\_;
- statement if (test); as well as the usual if (test) { statements; } (and with else)
- // for pattern matching; in this case it looks to see if the default \$\_ contains the string hello
- s//: if the string contains world, replace it by the string everybody (again, using the default \$\_)
- \$count++; lots of C-like features
- untyped variables: a variable can hold numbers and strings and other types; so ++ has first to check if the value is a number or a string containing a number, which it then converts to a number

#### Perl

- \$\_ is a default argument and can be left out of many places, e.g., print; is equivalent to print \$\_;
- statement if (test); as well as the usual if (test) { statements; } (and with else)
- // for pattern matching; in this case it looks to see if the default \$\_ contains the string hello
- s//: if the string contains world, replace it by the string everybody (again, using the default \$\_)
- \$count++; lots of C-like features
- untyped variables: a variable can hold numbers and strings and other types; so ++ has first to check if the value is a number or a string containing a number, which it then converts to a number
- flexibility over () around function arguments

• strings are both single and double quoted

- strings are both single and double quoted
- single quote is unevaluated: 'hello\n' prints as hello\n

- strings are both single and double quoted
- single quote is unevaluated: 'hello\n' prints as hello\n
- double quote is interpolated: "hello\n" prints as hello with a newline

- strings are both single and double quoted
- single quote is unevaluated: 'hello\n' prints as hello\n
- double quote is interpolated: "hello\n" prints as hello with a newline
- "The count is \$count" sticks the current value of \$count into the string at that point

- strings are both single and double quoted
- single quote is unevaluated: 'hello\n' prints as hello\n
- double quote is interpolated: "hello\n" prints as hello with a newline
- "The count is \$count" sticks the current value of \$count into the string at that point

There are several very fat books on Perl

- strings are both single and double quoted
- single quote is unevaluated: 'hello\n' prints as hello\n
- double quote is interpolated: "hello\n" prints as hello with a newline
- "The count is \$count" sticks the current value of \$count into the string at that point

There are several very fat books on Perl

Its flexibility means it is used in a lot of places

- strings are both single and double quoted
- single quote is unevaluated: 'hello\n' prints as hello\n
- double quote is interpolated: "hello\n" prints as hello with a newline
- "The count is \$count" sticks the current value of \$count into the string at that point

There are several very fat books on Perl

Its flexibility means it is used in a lot of places

And it is easy to write unreadable code in Perl

JavaScript

JavaScript is an interesting case

**JavaScript** 

JavaScript is an interesting case

Originally designed to be a scripting language to manage Web page content, it is now more likely to be thought of as a special-purpose language to enable dynamic Web content

**JavaScript** 

JavaScript is an interesting case

Originally designed to be a scripting language to manage Web page content, it is now more likely to be thought of as a special-purpose language to enable dynamic Web content

Web applications like Google Maps are coded using JavaScript

**JavaScript** 

JavaScript is an interesting case

Originally designed to be a scripting language to manage Web page content, it is now more likely to be thought of as a special-purpose language to enable dynamic Web content

Web applications like Google Maps are coded using JavaScript

In Firefox, the browser's appearance, i.e., the buttons, toolbars and so on (the "chrome"), are programmed in JavaScript

**JavaScript** 

JavaScript is an interesting case

Originally designed to be a scripting language to manage Web page content, it is now more likely to be thought of as a special-purpose language to enable dynamic Web content

Web applications like Google Maps are coded using JavaScript

In Firefox, the browser's appearance, i.e., the buttons, toolbars and so on (the "chrome"), are programmed in JavaScript

This allows easy modification and extension (using "add-ons")

JavaScript

Standard warning:

Java and JavaScript are different languages

**JavaScript** 

### Standard warning:

Java and JavaScript are different languages

The name is unfortunate: their syntax is broadly similar but their semantics are wildly different

**JavaScript** 

### Standard warning:

Java and JavaScript are different languages

The name is unfortunate: their syntax is broadly similar but their semantics are wildly different

The name was originally chosen as JavaScript was intended to be "reminiscent" of Java, but now it's just a source of confusion

**JavaScript** 

### Standard warning:

Java and JavaScript are different languages

The name is unfortunate: their syntax is broadly similar but their semantics are wildly different

The name was originally chosen as JavaScript was intended to be "reminiscent" of Java, but now it's just a source of confusion

It can be argued that JavaScript is more like Scheme/Lisp than Java in the way it behaves (first-class functions, etc.)

**JavaScript** 

### Standard warning:

Java and JavaScript are different languages

The name is unfortunate: their syntax is broadly similar but their semantics are wildly different

The name was originally chosen as JavaScript was intended to be "reminiscent" of Java, but now it's just a source of confusion

It can be argued that JavaScript is more like Scheme/Lisp than Java in the way it behaves (first-class functions, etc.)

But you shouldn't take this analogy too far

**JavaScript** 

JavaScript is more properly called *ECMAScript* and there are several close-but-not-perfectly-compatible versions around

**JavaScript** 

JavaScript is more properly called *ECMAScript* and there are several close-but-not-perfectly-compatible versions around

JavaScript: Sun/Mozilla/etc.

JScript: Microsoft

ActionScript: Adobe

**JavaScript** 

JavaScript is more properly called *ECMAScript* and there are several close-but-not-perfectly-compatible versions around

JavaScript: Sun/Mozilla/etc.

JScript: Microsoft

ActionScript: Adobe

Ecma: formerly *European Computer Manufacturers Association*, now just "Ecma International", is a standards body

```
function getdoc()
{
   var input = document.getElementById("num");
   var num = input.value;
   if (parseInt(num) == num && num > 0 && num < 5000) {
     document.location = "http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc"
                         + num + ".txt";
   else {
     alert("Not a valid RFC number!");
     input.value = "";
   }
  return false;
```

**JavaScript** 

functions declared by function, no type declarations

- functions declared by function, no type declarations
- variables declared by var, no type declarations

- functions declared by function, no type declarations
- variables declared by var, no type declarations
- a variable can hold items of any type

- functions declared by function, no type declarations
- variables declared by var, no type declarations
- a variable can hold items of any type
- syntax reminiscent of Java (and C and so on)

**JavaScript** 

JavaScript is easy to learn and use, good for prototyping

JavaScript

JavaScript is easy to learn and use, good for prototyping It is OO, but in a very different way from other languages, particularly Java

**JavaScript** 

JavaScript is easy to learn and use, good for prototyping

It is OO, but in a very different way from other languages, particularly Java

We shall be talking more about JavaScript when we examine OO in detail