

# On right $n$ -Engel subgroups II

Peter G. Crosby & Gunnar Traustason  
Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Bath,  
Bath BA2 7AY, UK

In this sequel to “On right  $n$ -Engel subgroups” we add a new general structure result on right  $n$ -Engel subgroups. We also use one of the structure results to prove some results about right  $n$ -Engel subgroups in finite  $p$ -groups.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. The  $n$ -Engel word  $[y,{}_n x]$  is defined recursively by  $[y,{}_0 x] = y$  and  $[y,{}_{n+1} x] = [[y,{}_n x], x]$ . Recall that an element  $a$  in a group  $G$  is said to be right  $n$ -Engel if  $[a,{}_n g] = 1$  for all  $g \in G$  and that the group  $G$  is said to be  $n$ -Engel if every element  $a \in G$  is right  $n$ -Engel. Clearly for every element  $a$  in the  $(n + 1)$ th term of the upper central series,  $Z_n(G)$ , we have that all the elements in  $\langle a \rangle^G$  are right  $n$ -Engel. It is conversely not true in general that right  $n$ -Engel elements need to be in the hypercentre. Take for example the standard wreath product  $C_2 \text{ wr } C_2^\infty$ , of the cyclic group of order 2 with the infinite countable direct product of groups of order 2. This group is a 3-Engel group with a trivial centre. For a number of classes of groups we do however have that the right Engel elements belong to the hyper centre. For example this is true for finite groups [2] and finitely generated solvable groups [3].

This paper is a sequel to [6]. Our work in that paper and the present one is motivated by the following results on the structure of  $n$ -Engel groups. For all four results we let  $G$  be a nilpotent  $n$ -Engel group.

**Structure Theorem 1** (*Wilson [11]*) *If  $G$  is a  $d$ -generator group, then the nilpotence class of  $G$  is  $(d, n)$ -bounded.*

**Structure Theorem 2** (*Zel'manov [12]*) *If  $G$  is torsion free, then the nilpotence class of  $G$  is  $n$ -bounded class.*

**Structure Theorem 3** (*Crosby and Traustason [5]*) *There exist integers  $f(n)$  and  $c(n)$  such that  $G^{f(n)} \leq Z_{c(n)}(G)$ .*

**Structure Theorem 4** (*Burns and Medvedev [4]*) *There exist integers  $f(n)$  and  $c(n)$  such that  $\gamma_{c(n)}(G)^{f(n)} = \{1\}$ .*

Notice that both the third and the fourth theorem imply the 2nd. It should also be mentioned that the third theorem was preceded by a result of Burns and Medvedev [4], who proved under the same assumptions that there exist integers  $f(n), c(n)$  such that  $G^{f(n)}$  is nilpotent of class  $c(n)$ .

We now move to the analogous statements for right Engel subgroups. First a definition.

**Definition.** Let  $H$  be a subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then  $H$  is said to be a *right  $n$ -Engel subgroup* if all the elements of  $H$  are right  $n$ -Engel elements of  $G$ .

As we said above, for any group  $G$ , the normal subgroup  $Z_n(G)$  consists of right  $n$ -Engel elements. We are interested in the reverse problem. Suppose  $H$  is a normal right  $n$ -Engel subgroup of  $G$  and suppose that  $H$  belongs to some term of the upper central series. We refer to the smallest integer  $c$  such that  $H \leq Z_c(G)$  as the upper central degree of  $H$ . Our analogous results for this situation are.

**Theorem 1** (*[6]*) *If  $G$  is a  $d$ -generator group, then the upper central degree of  $H$  is  $(d, n)$ -bounded.*

**Theorem 2** (*[6]*) *If  $H$  is torsion-free, then the upper central degree of  $H$  is  $n$ -bounded.*

**Theorem 3** ([6]) *There exist integers  $c(n), f(n)$ , only depending on  $n$ , such that  $H^{f(n)} \leq Z_{c(n)}(G)$ .*

**Theorem 4** *There exist integers  $c(n), f(n)$ , only depending on  $n$ , such that  $[H, c(n)G]^{f(n)} = \{1\}$ .*

It is not difficult to see that these theorems imply the structure theorems on Engel groups discussed above and that both Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 imply Theorem 2. The way the proof works is however that one uses Theorems 1 and 2 to prove Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.

Theorems 1,2 and 3 were proved in [6]. In Section 2 we will prove Theorem 4 and we will also use Theorem 3 to obtain some results concerning right  $n$ -Engel subgroups of finite  $p$ -groups. These results are analogues to the following results on the structure of  $n$ -Engel  $p$ -groups [1]. Let  $p$  be a prime and let  $r = r(n, p)$  be the integer satisfying  $p^{r-1} < n \leq p^r$ .

**Structure Theorem 5** ([1]) *There exists a positive integer  $s = s(n)$  such that any finite powerful  $n$ -Engel  $p$ -group is nilpotent of class at most  $s$ .*

**Structure Theorem 6** ([1]) *Let  $G$  be a finite  $n$ -Engel  $p$ -group.*

- (a) *If  $p$  is odd, then  $G^{p^r}$  is powerful.*
- (b) *If  $p = 2$ , then  $(G^{2^r})^2$  is powerful.*

As corollary of Theorems 5 and 6 we then have

**Structure Theorem 7** ([1]) *Let  $G$  be a locally finite  $n$ -Engel  $p$ -group.*

- (a) *If  $p$  is odd, then  $G^{p^r}$  is nilpotent of  $n$ -bounded class.*
- (b) *If  $p = 2$  then  $(G^{2^r})^2$  is nilpotent of  $n$ -bounded class.*

The analogous results for right  $n$ -Engel subgroups are

**Theorem 5** *There exists a positive integer  $s(n)$  such that, for any finite  $p$ -group  $G$  and right  $n$ -Engel subgroup  $H$  which is powerfully embedded in  $G$ ,  $[H, s(n)G] = 1$ .*

**Theorem 6** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group and  $H$  be a normal right  $n$ -Engel subgroup of  $G$ .*

- (a) *If  $p$  is odd,  $H^{p^r}$  is powerfully embedded in  $G^{p^r}$ .*  
 (b) *If  $p = 2$ ,  $(H^{2^r})^2$  is powerfully embedded in  $(G^{2^r})^2$ .*

**Theorem 7** *Let  $G$  be a locally finite  $p$ -group and  $H$  be a normal right  $n$ -Engel subgroup of  $G$ . There exists an integer  $s = s(n)$  such that the following hold.*

- (a) *If  $p$  is odd,  $[H^{p^r},_s G^{p^r}] = 1$ .*  
 (b) *If  $p = 2$ ,  $[(H^{2^r})^2,_s (G^{2^r})^2] = 1$ .*

**Remark.** The  $r$  given in Theorem 7 is close to being the best bound. Let  $t$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $H^{p^t}$  is upper central of  $n$ -bounded degree. Then  $r \in \{r - 1, r\}$  if  $p$  is odd and  $t \in \{r - 1, r, r + 1\}$  if  $p = 2$  [1].

## 2 Proofs

In this section we prove Theorems 4,5,6 and 7. We start with Theorem 4.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** By Lemma 3 [6], we know that there exist positive integers  $m = m(n)$  and  $l = l(n)$  such that, for any  $h \in H$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_m \in G$ ,  $[h, g_1, \dots, g_m]^l = 1$ . Fix  $h \in H$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_{m+1} \in G$  and let  $K = \langle [h, g_1, \dots, g_m], g_{m+1} \rangle$ . Then  $K'/[K', K']$  is abelian of exponent dividing  $l$ . Let  $k = [h, g_1, \dots, g_m]$ . By Theorem 1, there exists a positive integer  $s = s(n)$  such that  $[< k >^K, _s K] = \{1\}$ . It follows in particular that  $K'$  is nilpotent of class at most  $s$  and thus  $K'$  has exponent dividing  $l^s$ . Let  $e$  be an integer such that  $\binom{l^e}{k}$  is divisible by  $l^s$  for  $k = 1, \dots, s$  and set  $f = l^e$ . Let  $g = a_1 \cdots a_t$  be any product of commutators of the form  $[y, x_1, \dots, x_m]$ , with  $y \in H$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in G$ . We prove, by induction on  $t$ , that  $g^f = 1$ . if  $t = 1$  this is trivial. Now suppose  $t \geq 2$  and that the inductive hypothesis holds for smaller values of  $t$ . Let  $z = a_2 \cdots a_t$  and  $a = a_1$ . Applying the well known Hall-Petrescu identity, we have that

$$a^f z^f = (az)^f w_2^{\binom{f}{2}} w_3^{\binom{f}{3}} \cdots w_s^{\binom{f}{s}}$$

with  $w_i \in \gamma_i(\langle a, z \rangle)$ . By inductive hypothesis the left hand side is trivial and by definition of  $f$  every  $w_i^{\binom{f}{i}}$  is also trivial, since each  $w_i$  is in  $\langle a_1, z \rangle'$ . Hence

$(a_1 \cdots a_t)^f = (az)^f = 1$ . This finishes the inductive proof and we conclude that  $g^f = 1$  for all  $g \in [H, {}_m G]$ .  $\square$

We now move to Theorem 5. Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group. Recall that a group  $H$  is powerfully embedded in  $G$  if  $[H, G] \leq H^p$  provided that  $p$  is odd. If  $p = 2$ , we require that  $[H, G] \leq H^4$ . For the proof we need to apply few well known properties of powerfully embedded subgroups. Firstly if  $H$  is powerfully embedded in  $G$ , then  $H^p$  is also powerfully embedded in  $G$ . Secondly, if  $H$  is powerfully embedded in  $G$ , then for each positive integer  $m$  we have that  $H^m = \{h^m : h \in H\}$ . The details can be found in [7] for example.

**Proof of Theorem 5.** As  $H$  is powerfully embedded in  $G$  we have that  $H^{p^k}$  is powerfully embedded for any positive integer  $k$ . Furthermore  $H^{p^k} = \{h^{p^k} : h \in H\}$ . We use these properties to show by induction on  $k \geq 1$  that  $[H, {}_k G] \leq H^{p^k}$ . The induction basis is given by the assumption that  $H$  is powerfully embedded in  $G$ . Now suppose that  $k \geq 2$  and that the result holds for smaller values of  $k$ . Then

$$[H, {}_k G] = [H, {}_{k-1} G, G] \leq [H^{p^{k-1}}, G] \leq (H^{p^{k-1}})^p = H^{p^k}.$$

This finishes the inductive proof. Let  $c$  and  $f$  be as in Theorem 3, and let  $v = v(n)$  be the largest power of any prime that occurs in  $f(n)$ . Then  $[H^{p^v}, {}_c G] = \{1\}$  and thus  $[H, {}_{v+c} G] \leq [H^{p^v}, {}_c G] = \{1\}$ .  $\square$

We finally turn to Theorems 6 and 7. Let  $p$  be a fixed prime and  $n$  be a fixed positive integer. Let  $r$  be the integer satisfying  $p^{r-1} < n \leq p^r$ .

**Proof of Theorem 6.** (a) We can assume that  $(H^{p^r})^p = \{1\}$  and then the aim is to show that  $[H^{p^r}, G^{p^r}] = \{1\}$ . Let  $g \in G$  be arbitrary and set  $V = H^{p^r}$ . Since  $H$  is a finite  $n$ -Engel  $p$ -group, we have by Structure Theorem 6 that  $V$  is powerful and hence elementary abelian. Since  $H$  is a right  $n$ -Engel subgroup, for each  $v \in V$ ,  $[v, {}_n g] = 1$  and thus  $[v, {}_{p^r} g] = 1$ . Hence, in  $\text{End}(V)$ ,  $0 = (-1 + g)^{p^r} = g^{p^r} - 1$ . So  $[v, g^{p^r}] = 1$  as required.

(b) Let  $K = (H^{2^r})^2$ . We may assume that  $K^4 = 1$  and the aim is then to show that  $[K, (G^{2^r})^2] = \{1\}$ . Let  $g \in G$  be arbitrary and set  $V = K/K^2$ . As  $H$  is a right  $n$ -Engel subgroup, we have by Structure Theorem 6 that  $K$  is powerful and hence abelian. It follows that  $V$  is an elementary abelian 2-group and

$[v, {}_{2^r}g] = 1$ . We can conclude that in  $\text{End}(V)$ ,  $0 = (-1 + g)^{2^r} = t^{2^r} - 1$ . This shows that  $[K, G^{2^r}] \leq K^2$ . Let  $k \in K$ , then

$$[k, (g^{2^r})^2] = [k, g^{2^r}]^2 [k, g^{2^r}, g^{2^r}]$$

and since  $[k, g^{2^r}] \in K^2$  we have that  $[k, g^{2^r}]^2 = 1$ . It remains to see that  $[k, g^{2^r}, g^{2^r}] = 1$  and for this it suffices to show that  $[K^2, G^{2^r}] = \{1\}$ . But as  $K^2$  is right  $n$ -Engel we have as before that in  $\text{End}(K^2)$ ,  $0 = (-1 + g)^{2^r} = g^{2^r} - 1$ , and so  $[K^2, G^{2^r}] = \{1\}$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 7.** (a) Let  $s = s(n)$  be as in Theorem 5. Let  $h \in H^{p^r}$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_s \in G^{p^r}$ . Then  $h, g_1, \dots, g_s \in K^{p^r}$  for some finitely generated, and hence finite subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ . By Theorem 6,  $(\langle h \rangle^K)^{p^r}$  is powerfully embedded in  $K^{p^r}$ . Hence, by Theorem 5,  $[h, g_1, \dots, g_s] = 1$ . This finishes the proof of part (a). Part (b) is proved similarly.  $\square$ .

### 3 Right 2-Engel subgroups

In this section we consider the simplest non-trivial case of right 2-Engel subgroups. First we determine the integers  $f(2)$  and  $c(2)$  of Theorem 4. Let  $G$  be any group with a normal subgroup right 2-Engel subgroup  $H$ . In [8,9] (see also [10] Theorem 7.13), it is shown that  $[h, x, y, z]^2 = 1$  for all right 2-Engel elements  $h$  in  $G$  and all  $x, y, z \in G$  and that  $\langle h \rangle^G$  is an abelian right 2-Engel subgroup. We also have

$$1 = [h, x, xy, xy] = [h, x, y, xy] = [h, x, y, x]^y$$

and  $[h, x^{-1}] = [h, x]^{-1}$ . From this it is clear that any commutator  $[h, u_1, \dots, u_m]$  with  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \{x, y\}$  and with a repeated entry of either  $x$  or  $y$  is trivial. In particular, such a commutator is trivial if  $m \geq 3$ . It follows that

$$1 = [h, xy, xy] = [h, x, y][h, y, x]$$

and  $[h, y, x] = [h, x, y]^{-1}$ . It follows that if  $h \in H$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in G$ , then any commutator  $[h, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_t}]$ , with some  $x_i$  repeated, is trivial. Thus for  $h \in H$  and  $x, y, z \in G$ , we have

$$[h, x, [y, z]] = [h, x, y, z][h, x, z, y]^{-1} = [h, x, y, z]^2 = 1.$$

It follows that  $[H, G, G, G] = \{[h, x, y, z] : h \in H, x, y, z \in G\}$ , is abelian and so  $[H, G, G, G]^2 = 1$ . Examples 1 and 2 in [6] then show that this is the best possible. Thus  $c(2) = 3$  and  $f(2) = 2$ .

We next move to Theorems 5 and 7. Let  $s(2, p)$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $[H, {}_{s(2,p)}G] = \{1\}$  for all pairs  $(H, G)$ , where  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -group and  $H$  is a right  $n$ -Engel subgroup that is powerfully embedded in  $G$ . Also, let  $e(2, p)$  and  $f(2, p)$  be integers such that for any pair pairs  $(H, G)$ , where  $G$  is a locally finite  $p$ -group and  $H$  is a normal right 2-Engel subgroup of  $G$ ,  $[H^{p^{f(2,p)}}, {}_{e(2,p)}G^{p^{f(2,p)}}] = \{1\}$ . We want to find the value of  $s(2, 2)$  and the best possible values for  $e(2, p)$  and  $f(2, p)$ .

First we deal with the case when  $p$  is odd. From [6], we know that  $[a^2, x, y, z] = 1$  when  $a$  is a right 2-Engel element and  $x, y, z \in G$ . Hence  $[a, x, y, z] = 1$  when  $p$  is odd. Hence  $s(2, p) \leq 3$  and the best possible value for  $f(2, p) = 0$ . Next example shows that  $s(2, p) = 3$  and that the best possible value for  $e(2, p)$  is 3.

**Example 1.** For any given positive integer  $s$  we let  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$  be the congruence class of the integers modulo  $p^s$ . We let  $N(s) = \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}^4$  and we let  $M(s)$  be the subgroup of  $\text{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z}_{p^s})$ , generated by

$$X(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ p & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $L(s) = N(s) \rtimes M(s)$  where  $M(s)$  acts on  $N(s)$  by multiplication on the left. Notice that if  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  is the standard basis for the  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -module  $N(s)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1, X] &= pv_2 & [v_2, X] &= 0 & [v_3, X] &= -pv_4 & [v_4, X] &= 0 \\ [v_1, Y] &= pv_3 & [v_2, Y] &= pv_4 & [v_3, Y] &= 0 & [v_4, Y] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $L(s)$  is a finite  $p$ -group and that  $N(s)$  is powerfully embedded right 2-Engel subgroup in  $L(s)$ . Then

$$[p^t v_1, X^{p^t}, Y^{p^t}] = p^{3t+2} v_4,$$

which is non trivial in  $L(3t+3)$ . This shows that the best possible value for  $e(2, p)$  is 3. Since  $[v_1, X, Y] = p^2 v_4$ , that is non-trivial in  $L(3)$ , we also see

that  $c(2, p) = 3$ .

It remains to deal with  $p = 2$ . Notice that Example 1 in fact shows also that the best value for  $e(2, 2)$  is 3 as we know that  $[a^2, x^2, y^2, z^2] = 1$  for  $a \in H$  and  $x, y, z \in G$ . This also shows that the best value for  $f(2, 2)$  is at most 1. The group  $N(2)$  is however not powerfully embedded in  $L(2)$  so it remains to deal with  $s(2, 2)$  and  $f(2, 2)$ . The first example shows that the best possible value of  $f(2, 2)$  is 1.

**Example 2.** For each  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let

$$R(t) = C_2 \text{ wr } C_2^t = \prod_{g \in C_2^t} \langle a^g \rangle \rtimes C_2^t.$$

The base group  $B(t) = \prod_{g \in C_2^t} \langle a^g \rangle$  is then a normal right 2-Engel subgroup. However if  $g_1, \dots, g_t$  is the standard basis for  $C_2^t$  then

$$[a, g_1, \dots, g_t] = a^{(-1+g_1) \cdots (-1+g_t)} \neq 1.$$

This shows that the best possible value of  $f(2, 2)$  is 1.

It now only remains to deal with  $s(2, 2)$ . As we have remarked before we know that  $[H^2, {}_3G] = \{1\}$  for any pair  $(H, G)$  where  $H$  is a normal right 2-Engel subgroup of  $G$ . Thus, if  $H$  is a powerfully embedded subgroup of a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ , then

$$[H, {}_4G] \leq [H^4, {}_3G] = \{1\}.$$

We now show that  $s(2, 2) = 4$ , by giving an example that shows that  $s(2, 2) > 3$ .

**Example 3.** The construction is similar to the one in Example 1. This time we let  $N(s) = \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}^8$  and we consider the subgroup of  $\text{GL}(8, \mathbb{Z}_{2^s})$  generated by the following three matrices



$$X(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, Y(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Z(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We then let  $L(s) = N(s) \rtimes M(s)$ , where as before  $M(s)$  acts on  $N(s)$  by matrix multiplication on the left. The group  $L(s)$  is then a finite 2-group where  $N(s)$  is powerfully embedded in  $L(s)$ . If we let  $v_1, \dots, v_8$  be the standard basis for  $N(s)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} [v_1, X] &= 4v_2, & [v_2, X] &= 0, & [v_3, X] &= -4v_5, & [v_4, X] &= -4v_6, \\ [v_5, X] &= 0, & [v_6, X] &= 0, & [v_7, X] &= 4v_8, & [v_8, X] &= 0, \\ [v_1, Y] &= 4v_3, & [v_2, Y] &= 4v_5, & [v_3, Y] &= 0, & [v_4, Y] &= -4v_7, \\ [v_5, Y] &= 0, & [v_6, Y] &= -4v_8, & [v_7, Y] &= 0, & [v_8, Y] &= 0 \\ [v_1, Z] &= 4v_4, & [v_2, Z] &= 4v_6, & [v_3, Z] &= 4v_7, & [v_4, Z] &= 0, \\ [v_5, Z] &= 4v_8, & [v_6, Z] &= 0 & [v_7, Z] &= 0 & [v_8, Z] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for all  $v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_8\}$  that

$$[v, X, X] = [v, Y, Y] = [v, Z, Z] = 0$$

and

$$[v, X, Y] = -[v, Y, X], [v, X, Z] = -[v, Z, X], [v, Y, Z] = -[v, Z, Y].$$

Notice also that

$$[v, X, [Y, Z]] = [v, Y, [Z, X]] = [v, Z, [X, Y]] = 2[v, X, Y, Z].$$

It follows that in  $L(7)$ , we have  $[v, X, [Y, Z]] = [v, Y, [Z, X]] = [v, Z, [X, Y]] = 2[v, X, Y, Z] \in 2^7N(s) = \{0\}$  which implies that  $N(7)$  is a right 2-Engel subgroup of  $L(7)$ . However

$$[v_1, X, Y, Z] = 2^6v_4 \neq 0.$$

This shows that  $s(2, 2) = 4$ .

## References

- [1] A. Abdollahi and G. Traustason, On locally finite  $p$ -groups satisfying an Engel condition, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), 2827-2836.
- [2] R. Baer, Engelsche Elemente Noetherscher Gruppen, *Math. Ann.* **133** (1957), 256-270.
- [3] C. Brookes, Engel elements of soluble groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **18** (1986), 7-10.
- [4] R. G. Burns and Y. Medvedev, A note on Engel groups and local nilpotence, *J. Austral Math. Soc. (Series A)* **64** (1998), 92-100.
- [5] P. G. Crosby and G. Traustason, A remark on the structure of  $n$ -Engel groups, *Comm. Alg.*, to appear.
- [6] P. G. Crosby and G. Traustason, On right 2-Engel subgroups, *J. Algebra*, to appear.
- [7] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann and D. Segal, *Analytic pro- $p$  groups*, London Mathematical Society Series **157**, Cambridge University Press (1991).
- [8] W. P. Kappe, Die  $A$ -Norm einer Gruppe, *Illinois J. Math.* **5** (1961), 187-197.
- [9] F. W. Levi, Groups in which the commutator operation satisfies certain algebraic conditions, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **6** (1942), 87-97.

- [10] D. J. Robinson, Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups, Parts II, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, **62** (Springer-Verlag, New York 1972).
- [11] J. S. Wilson, Two-generator conditions for residually finite groups, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **23** (1991), 239-248.
- [12] E. I. Zel'manov, Some problems in the theory of groups and Lie algebras, *Math. Sb.* **180** (1989), 159-167.