## Algebra 1; MA20008; Sheet 4 Solutions

## G.C.Smith@bath.ac.uk

## 2-xi-2004

- Suppose that n is a natural number or 0, and F is a field. Show that there is a vector space over F of dimension n.
   Solution The zero space has basis Ø and so has dimension |Ø| = 0. If n > 0, then F<sup>n</sup> has dimension n.
- Suppose that V is a vector space with subspaces U and W both of dimension n < ∞. Does it follow that V is finite dimensional? Does it follow that V has dimension n? Does it follow that U = W? In each case you should supply a reason for your answer.</li>
   Solution The answers are not not to illustrate all three points.

**Solution** The answers are no, no and no. To illustrate all three points, let F be a field and let V = F[X] be the set of polynomials in X with coefficients in F. This V is not a vector space of finite dimension. Let U be the subset of V consisting of polynomials of degree at most 1. Let W be the subset of V consisting of polynomials of degree at most 2 but which have constant term 0. Now  $U \neq W$ , dim  $U = \dim W = 2$  but dim  $V \neq 2$ .

3. Let V be a vector space of dimension n. Suppose that  $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_m$ are subspaces of V with

$$V_0 \leq V_1 \leq \cdots \leq V_m.$$

(a) Suppose that m > n. Show that there is  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$  such that  $V_i = V_{i-1}$ .

**Solution** The dimensions of the spaces  $V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_m$  are weakly increasing. If m > n, then two spaces  $V_i$  and  $V_{i-1}$  must have the same dimension, and therefore (theorem in lectures)  $V_{i-1} = V_i$ .

(b) Suppose that  $m \leq n$ . Show that it may be that the spaces

$$V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_m$$

are distinct.

Solution Let  $\mathbf{v_1}, \mathbf{v_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v_n}$ . Let  $V_j$  be the span of  $\mathbf{v_1}, \mathbf{v_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v_j}$ . This does the job.

- 4. Suppose that  $\alpha : U \longrightarrow W$  is a linear map between vector spaces over the same field. Let  $\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x_n}$  be vectors in U.
  - (a) Suppose that  $U = \langle \mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}, \dots, \mathbf{x_n} \rangle$  and  $\alpha$  is surjective. Prove that  $W = \langle \alpha(\mathbf{x_1}), \alpha(\mathbf{x_2}), \dots, \alpha(\mathbf{x_n}) \rangle$ . Solution Suppose that  $\mathbf{w} \in W$ . Since  $\alpha$  is surjective there is  $\mathbf{u} \in U$  such that  $\alpha(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{w}$ . Now  $\mathbf{u} = \sum_i \lambda \mathbf{x_i}$  so  $\mathbf{w} = \alpha \left( \sum_i \lambda_i \mathbf{x_i} \right) = \sum_i \lambda_i \alpha(\mathbf{x_i})$ .
  - (b) Suppose that  $\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}, \dots, \mathbf{x_n}$  are linearly independent and  $\alpha$  is injective. Show that  $\alpha(\mathbf{x_1}), \alpha(\mathbf{x_2}), \dots, \alpha(\mathbf{x_n})$  are linearly independent. Solution Suppose that  $\sum_i \lambda_i \alpha(\mathbf{x_i}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Therefore  $\alpha(\sum_i \lambda_i \mathbf{x_i}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Now by injectivity  $\sum_i \lambda_i \mathbf{x_i} = \mathbf{0}$ . However, the  $\mathbf{x_i}$  are linearly independent, so each  $\lambda_i$  is 0.
- 5. Let  $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{5}} \in \mathbb{C}$ .
  - (a) Suppose that we view C as a vector space over Q. Show that 1, ζ, ζ<sup>2</sup>, ζ<sup>3</sup> are linearly independent.
    Solution ζ is a root of X<sup>4</sup> + X<sup>3</sup> + X<sup>2</sup> + X + 1. However, ζ is not a root of any rational polynomial of smaller degree. To see this, note that a smallest degree non-zero rational polynomial having α as a root must divide X<sup>4</sup> + X<sup>3</sup> + X<sup>2</sup> + X + 1. First eliminate the possibility of a linear factor, and then a quadratic factor.
  - (b) Suppose that we view C as a vector space over R. Show that 1, ζ, ζ<sup>2</sup>, ζ<sup>3</sup> are linearly dependent.
    Solution ζ = ζ<sup>4</sup> = ζ<sup>-1</sup>. Now (X − ζ)(X − ζ) is a real polynomial of degree 2 which has ζ as a root.
- 6. Let V be a vector space with subspaces U, W such that U and W are both finite dimensional. Let  $\mathbf{u_1}, \mathbf{u_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_m}$  be a basis of U and  $\mathbf{w_1}, \mathbf{w_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n}$  be a basis of W.

- (a) Show that U + W is finite dimensional. Solution Certainly  $U + W = \langle \mathbf{u_1}, \mathbf{u_2}, \dots, \mathbf{u_m}, \mathbf{w_1}, \mathbf{w_2}, \dots, \mathbf{w_n} \rangle$ , so U + W has a finite spanning set and therefore a finite basis.
- (b) Show that  $\mathbf{u_1}, \mathbf{u_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_m}, \mathbf{w_1}, \mathbf{w_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{w_n}$  need not be a basis of U + W.

Solution Well, perhaps  $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{w}_1$ . That would do.

(c) Suppose that  $U + W = U \oplus W$ . Show that

$$u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$$

is a basis of U + W.

**Solution** Spanning is not an issue; linear independence is. Suppose that there are scalars  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\mu_i$  such that  $\sigma_i(\lambda_i \mathbf{u_i}) + \sigma_j(\mu_j \mathbf{w_j}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $U + W = U \oplus W$  it follows that  $\sigma_i(\lambda_i \mathbf{u_i}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\sigma_i \mu_j \mathbf{w_j} = \mathbf{0}$ . Now  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_j$  are 0 for every *i* and *j* by the linear independence of the relevant sequences.

- (d) Suppose that  $\mathbf{u_1}, \mathbf{u_2}, \dots, \mathbf{u_m}, \mathbf{w_1}, \mathbf{w_2}, \dots, \mathbf{w_n}$  is a basis of U + W. Show that  $U + W = U \oplus W$ . Solution It suffices to show that  $U \cap W = 0$ . Suppose not, then there is  $\mathbf{v} \in U \cap W$  with  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Now  $\mathbf{v} \in U$  so  $\mathbf{v} = \sum \theta_i \mathbf{u_i}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \sum \psi_j \mathbf{u_j}$ . This yields a non-trivial linear relation  $\sum \theta_i \mathbf{u_i} - \sum \psi_j \mathbf{w_j}$ among the vectors  $\mathbf{u_i}$  and  $\mathbf{w_i}$ , which cannot therefore be linearly independent.
- 7. Let I be a set. Let V be the set of real valued functions on I; more formally

$$V = \{f | f : I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Define addition on V by (f + h)(x) := f(x) + g(x) for all  $x \in I$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in V$  we define  $\lambda \cdot f \in V$  by  $(\lambda \cdot f)(x) = (\lambda)(f(x))$  where the final multiplication is just the product (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

- (a) Check that V is now a vector space over ℝ.
   Solution This is routine.
- (b) For each i ∈ I, define a function δ<sub>i</sub> ∈ V where δ<sub>i</sub>(x) = δ<sub>i,x</sub> (Krönecker delta). Thus δ<sub>i</sub>(i) = 1 and δ<sub>i</sub>(x) = 0 if x ≠ i. Show that the vectors δ<sub>i</sub> are linearly independent.
  Solution Suppose that λ<sub>i</sub> are scalars (all but finitely many of

which are 0). Then  $\sum_{i}^{\prime} \lambda_{i} \delta_{i} = 0$ . Choose any  $j \in I$ ; then  $\lambda_{i}$  are scalars (all but finitely many of which are 0). Then  $\sum_{i}^{\prime} \lambda_{i} \delta_{i}(j) = 0$  so  $\lambda_{j} = 0$ . Thus these maps are linearly independent.

- (c) Let  $W = \langle \delta_i : i \in I \rangle$  be the span of all the  $\delta_i$ . Show that the vectors  $\delta_i$  form a basis of W (in that they are a linearly independent spanning set for W). Well they are linearly independent by the previous answer, and course they span W by the design of W.
- (d) Show that W = V if and only if I is finite. W is the subset of V consisting of functions of finite support, i.e. functions which take non-zero values at only finitely many elements of the domain. The two subsets co-incide if and only if I is finite.
- (e) Give an explicit example of a vector space with an clearly describable uncountable basis (no set theoretic metaphysics allowed).
   Solution See part (c).