

## Appendix 10: BEP procedures for IMC-related *aegrotat* award (Part 3 or Part 4)

1. This appendix relates to procedures for consideration of an *aegrotat* award according to the provisions of the University Ordinances (paras 14.8/14.9).
2. By virtue of the requirements of the Ordinances, a request for consideration for an *aegrotat* award can only arise in Part 3 or Part 4. Normal procedures and IMC procedures allow for classified awards in some circumstances where Part 3 or Part 4 is not completed; alternatives are available in IMC-affected cases for deferred assessment. Therefore consideration for an *aegrotat* award should arise only when the student is *unable* to complete the assessment requirements.
3. The Board of Examiners for Programmes must establish whether the criteria specified in the Ordinances are met, and will recommend this type of award when it is the collective view of the Board of Examiners that the candidate so endowed possesses the same level of knowledge, skills and understanding as would have been demonstrated if the candidate had completed final examinations. It is therefore implicit that the candidate would have completed a substantial proportion of the final year of study. Such recommendations are made to the Board of Studies, which will then consider this exceptional recommendation based on the evidence before, and the judgement of, the Board of Examiners for Programmes, and may recommend to Senate an *aegrotat* award if, in its judgement, such an action would be merited.
4. In the event that the Board of Examiners for Programmes determines that the criteria specified in the Ordinances are not met, it must make an award decision based on the normal criteria if this is possible.

**Figure 8: BEP procedures for IMC-related *aegrotat* award (Part 3 or Part 4)**

